Women demonstrate lower markers of stress and oxidative stress during active shooter training drill
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A B S T R A C T

It has been well documented that police officers are frequently engaged in a variety of high stress situations during their normal daily tasks, such as civilian encounters where force is needed or domestic violence situations, that cause significant increases in a variety of physiological and psychological stress markers. Chronic exposure to stressors increases risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD) progression. The purpose of this study was to compare male and female salivary and blood markers of stress in response to an active shooter training drill (ASD) to determine if acute stress differentially impacts men and women to better understand if interventions should be targeted. Thirty-one participants (males = 15 [mean age: 23], females = 16 [mean age: 21]) participated in an ASD involving professional actors playing the role of one active gunman, as well as four victims. The ASD lasted approximately 50 seconds. Blood samples were collected 15 min prior as well as after the ASD and analyzed for epinephrine (EPI), norepinephrine (NE), and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) levels. Saliva samples were collected 30 and 5 min prior to the ASD and 5 and 30 min after the ASD, and were analyzed for cortisol, α-amylase, uric acid, and secretory immunoglobulin-A (SIgA). Our analysis revealed that acute (~50 sec) psychological stress in the form of an ASD resulted in significant increases in blood and salivary stress and oxidative stress markers in both men and women. However, four of the seven markers were lower in female participants (cortisol, uric acid, H2O2, and α-amylase presented significant main effects for sex). In addition, SIgA was significantly lower in women compared to men 30 min prior to, and five min post ASD. These findings suggest females may be at a lower risk to stress induced oxidative stress and CVD.

1. Introduction

Individuals working in law enforcement are regularly exposed to stressors that engage the “fight or flight” response, resulting in a challenge to allostatic homeostasis as maintained by the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal (HPA) and sympathoadrenal (SA) axes [27,32]. Hans Selye (1907–1983) first identified three phases of the physiological response to stress which are identified as the following: 1) alarm, 2) resistance and 3) exhaustion [35]. Acute exposure to these stressors (i.e., alarm phase) results in increasing levels of stress markers, such as cortisol, epinephrine, and norepinephrine. Acute stress can serve a beneficial purpose, facilitating physiological homeostasis in response to stress exposure and the development of stress resistance (i.e., phase 2). However, chronic activation of these axes can result phase 3 which is associated with adverse effects to cardiometabolic health, increased risk illness and premature death. In fact, chronic stress has been linked to numerous diseases including cardiovascular disease (CVD), insulin resistant diabetes, and cancer [5,32].

In terms of CVD prevalence in law enforcement officers (LEOs), it has been shown that LEOs have higher CVD-related morbidity and mortality rates than the general public [4,8,10,13,29]. Indeed, LEOs have been shown to have poor cardiometabolic health profiles compared to other occupations [13]. For example, LEOs tend to have higher rates of obesity, metabolic syndrome, and total cholesterol levels in comparison to other occupations in the U.S [13]. Law enforcement is identified as a high stress occupation, and past work has suggested the high stress nature is a primary factor contributing to increased risk of developing CVD [10,41]. Stress induced activation of the HPA and SA axes contributes to oxidative stress and inflammation [17] and may be a primary factor in contributing to ~ 40% of atherosclerosis in individuals without traditional risk factors for CVD, such as obesity and hypertension [2]. Stress induced oxidative stress is associated with impaired vascular function, as well as increased...
likelihood of LDL oxidation and atherosclerotic development [18]. It is important to note that LEOs have been shown to have elevated rates of both traditional and non-traditional risk factors for CVD compared to other occupations in the U.S. [13].

Several studies have reported relationships between blood and salivary biomarkers of stress in response to acute psychological stress exposure [19,38]. Salivary α-amylase is a commonly used marker of stress related to sympathetic activity or activation of the SA axis [38], while salivary cortisol (S-CORT) concentrations are used as a reflection of activation of the HPA axis [12]. Chronic exposure to stress is known to be immunosuppressive, thus, secretory immunoglobulin A (SIgA) concentrations in saliva are commonly used as a marker of stress exposure since immunoglobulin A (IgA) has a role in immune function [19]. Moreover, acute exposure to stress has been previously shown to impact salivary SIgA concentrations [25,30]. In addition, acute stress can result in oxidative stress, which is a main factor facilitating CVD progression [17,18]. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the impact of acute psychological stress on markers of stress and oxidative stress in male and female participants.

The way acute stress differentially impacts male and female health has been extensively studied. In fact, stress reactivity has been linked to female participants. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the impact of acute psychological stress markers of stress and oxidative stress in male and female participants.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants and experimental design

This study utilized a repeated measures two-arm design where both males and females participated in an active shooter training drill (ASD). Measurements were collected pre and post ASD. Participants (n = 31 males = 15 [mean age: 23], females = 16 [mean age: 21]) were recruited via word of mouth from the university campus. To participate in the experimental protocol, participants were required to be apparently healthy, non-smokers, and free from donating blood as well as any major life stressor in the last 30 days (e.g., death in the family, divorce, birth of a child). A post hoc power analysis using G*Power 3.1.9.7 [9] for a repeated measures analysis of variance (RMANOVA) revealed that a sample size of 31 resulted in a reported power of 0.93 to detect a large effect (f = .5) with α at .05. All participants provided written informed consent, and all experimental procedures were approved by the University’s Institutional Review Board. These data were analyzed as a subset from a previous study where we analyzed the combined stress responses in both males and females in response to an ASD [26]. Sex differences were examined separately in this manuscript to allow for a thorough examination outside of the combined stress response manuscript.

2.2. Experimental procedures

Upon arriving at the study location, participants rested in a quiet room separate from the ASD facility for 30 minutes. They were then transported to the ASD facility by a member of the research team. Prior to starting the experiment, the member of the research team explained the experiment. First, the participant would be the first “police officer” to arrive to a “shots fired” call. Second, limited information would be provided via simulated dispatch traffic. Participants were only told that a Caucasian male was reported to be firing a gun and there were multiple casualties reported. Participants were told to shoot the attacker if he was still a threat. Third, the researcher would simulate arrival time by telling the participant when he/she “arrived” and could enter the ASD. The researcher then ensured each participant was comfortable with the Glock 17T training pistol prior to starting the ASD. The Glock 17T fired blanks (i.e., no projectile). After these instructions and acclimation period, the researcher started the dispatch recording. The active shooter began firing a blank gun from within the building approximately 20s into the dispatch recording. Additional shots were fired, a fire alarm was activated, and professional actors playing the roles of victims began to scream. Participants were then told they had “arrived” and could enter the building to stop the active shooter.

The ASD was intended to be as realistic as possible to a real active shooting. As such, professional actors played the role of the injured and dying victims. Victims wore a variety of moulage (realistic fake wounds) and realistic fake blood to increase authenticity. There were four victims in total. The first victim was laying on the floor about 10 feet past the door. The first victim presented traumatic injuries (e.g., eviscerated bowels, gunshot wound to her upper thigh, and a pool of blood on the floor) and was screaming for help. The second victim ran out of the scenario room and past the participant. The second victim had two gunshot wounds (one to her upper arm and leg) and screamed for help. The third and fourth victims were in the scenario room with the shooter. The third victim was on the floor with a traumatic head injury, laying in a pool of her blood. The fourth victim was shot multiple times before he collapsed to the floor as the participant stood in the door’s threshold. The shooter would then fall to the ground if the participant fired his/her training weapon or turn to the participant to elicit a response. The researcher then entered the scenario room, stopped the scenario, retrieved the training weapon from the participant, and returned the participant back to the resting room. Use of force simulators have been previously used to examine physiological responses to stress (see Ref. [16]). We chose to create a realistic ASD to increase the realism and better understand stress response in this medium.

The researcher explained the study to each participant following the ASD. No participant reported the ASD as traumatic; however, had any participant expressed or appeared to have experienced potential trauma they would have been directed to the university’s mental health services listed on the IRB approved informed consent form.

2.3. Saliva and blood collection and analysis

Blood samples were collected 15 minutes before and 15 minutes after the ASD and were collected into sealed sodium heparin vacutainers. Sample tubes were immediately cooled on dry ice and centrifuged at 1600 xg for 15 minutes. Plasma samples were stored in multiple aliquots at −80 °C until analysis.

Saliva samples of ~500 μL were collected via passive drool technique (Salimetrics, PA, USA) a total of four times: 30 and 5 minutes prior to the ASD, as well as 5 and 30 minutes after completion of the ASD. Saliva samples were immediately stored on dry ice and subsequently stored at −80 °C until analysis.

Saliva samples were thawed and centrifuged at 1500 xg (4 °C) for 15 minutes and subsequently analyzed in duplicate for S-CORT, α-amylase, SIgA and uric acid (UA) using commercially available kits (Salimetrics, PA, USA). Thawed plasma samples were analyzed for epinephrine (EPI)
and norepinephrine (NE) using an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) which included an extraction procedure (ALPCO, NH, USA). Plasma concentrations of hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) were determined using the Amplex red assay by methods described by the manufacturer (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen Detection Technologies, Eugene, OR, USA). Absorbance was read using a colorimetric plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical procedures were conducted with SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC). Differences between men and women pre and post ASD were analyzed with 2 × 4 (gender × time) repeated measures analysis of variance (RMANOVA) for salivary markers S-CORT, α-amylose, SlgA, and UA. Changes in blood EPI, NE, and H$_2$O$_2$ were analyzed with 2 × 2 RMANOVAs. Fisher’s Least Significant Difference post hoc test was used to further compare means following a significant interaction or main effect. Effect sizes were calculated and reported as partial eta squared ($\eta^2$).

3. Results

All data are reported as mean ± standard deviation. Descriptive characteristics for study participants are as follows: men (n = 15, age = 23.1 ± 4.7 yrs) and women (n = 16, age = 21 ± 0.9 yrs). All 31 participants provided saliva samples; however, 25 participants provided blood samples. Six participants requested to voluntarily skip the blood draw due to needle phobia.

3.1. Salivary markers

With respect to mean S-CORT levels, no gender x time interaction was noted ($p = 0.132$). However, there was a significant main effect for gender ($F = 32.2, p = 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.27$) and time ($F = 8.0, p < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.21$). S-CORT concentrations were significantly lower at 5- and 30-min post ASD compared to pre ASD ($p < 0.01$), and overall, concentrations were significantly higher in men compared to women ($p < 0.01$). S-CORT data are shown in Fig. 1. The average intra- and inter-assay %CV for this assay was 7.2 and 6.1% respectively.

No gender x time interaction was found for α-amylose ($p = 0.58$). However, there was a main effect for gender ($F = 6.23, p = 0.01, \eta^2_p = 0.06$) and time ($F = 21.6, p < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.43$). Concentrations of α-amylose were significantly higher at 5-min post ASD compared to all other timepoints ($p < 0.01$), and overall, men demonstrated significantly higher levels ($p = 0.01$). Data for α-amylose are shown in Fig. 2. The average intra- and inter-assay %CV for this assay was ~4.5 and 5.0% respectively.

Concentrations of SlgA were also lower post ASD in women compared to men. Men and women demonstrated significant increases in EPI in response to the ASD. Finally, it is important to note that the anticipation of ASD resulted in a significant increase in oxidative stress in both men and women as measured by UA. Overall sex differences were found in four of the seven stress markers, suggesting generally lower stress levels in women compared to men. In addition, the significant gender x time interaction in relation to mean SlgA levels indicate a lower response to stress in women compared to men. The differences in these biomarkers in women compared to men should be considered in future work seeking to study physiological impacts of psychological stress. The implications in relation to risk for developing CVD are also significant given the role of stress and oxidative stress in CVD progression.

Individuals working in high stress occupations (firefighters, law enforcement & military personnel, etc.) are regularly exposed to psychological and physiological stressors. Chronic exposure to such stressors increases risk for CVD progression due to the increase in stress hormones and oxidative stress [18]. In fact, law enforcement officers have been shown to demonstrate aspects of CVD including hypertension, diabetes,
and hypercholesterolemia [11, 41]. It is important to note that much of the risk of CVD progression is attributed to stress induced oxidative stress and inflammation [18]. Indeed, psychological and physiological stress results in significant increases in concentrations of biomarkers of stress which can lead to oxidative stress [17]. The current findings are meaningful in that they demonstrate that acute exposure to brief (~50 sec) psychological stress in the form of an ASD results in significant increases in blood and salivary stress and oxidative stress markers, and those markers are attenuated in women. Therefore, women working in high stress tactical occupations may have attenuated risk of developing stress-induced cardiovascular dysfunction. It should be noted that past work has shown higher levels of reported stress in female LEOs and it has been speculated that female LEOs may be at greater risk for developing CVD due to greater potential for perceived stress [42]. It is possible that women in the general population react differently to acute stress than female LEOs. These conflicting results warrant additional work in the areas of stress and CVD in male and female LEOs.

In terms of differences between men and women’s physiological response to stress, both human and animal trials have consistently demonstrated sex differences [39]. In relation to rodent studies, female rats demonstrate higher average daily concentrations of corticosterones compared to male rats [7]. Moreover, acute stress exposure has been shown to cause greater concentrations of corticosterones, cortisol, and adrenocorticotropic hormone activity in female compared to male rats.

**Figure 2.** Data are shown as mean ± SD. Changes in salivary α-amylase across time. ASD = active shooter training drill. (-30) = 30 min prior to ASD, (-5) = 5 min prior to ASD, (+5) = five min post ASD, (+30) = 30 min post ASD. *Denotes significant (p < 0.05) increase for both men and women compared to all other time points and “Ω” indicates a significant (p = 0.01) main effect for gender with higher concentrations in men compared to women.

**Figure 3.** Data are shown as mean ± SD. Changes in salivary secreted immunoglobulin A (SIgA) across time. ASD = active shooter training drill. (-30) = 30 min prior to ASD, (-5) = 5 min prior to ASD, (+5) = five min post ASD, (+30) = 30 min post ASD. *Denotes significant (p < 0.05) gender × time interaction for -30 and +5 timepoints whereby men showed significantly higher concentrations.
As discussed by Ref. [1], rodent studies consistently show greater physiological responses to stress in rodent trials; however, human trials provide equivocal results. The present findings are in line with past work showing higher cortisol concentrations in response to acute stress in men compared to women [6,23]. However, these sex differences in human trials are inconsistent [1]. It is important to note these findings may be impacted by age, as young men exhibit greater responses to stress compared to young women, while among older adults, women may demonstrate greater increases [34]. These sex differences are likely due to the role of female sex hormones in modulating the activity of the HPA axis [1]. In addition, glucocorticoid binding may be reduced in females due to fewer hypothalamic receptors [37]. Therefore, findings may also be impacted by menstrual phase [21]. For a more detailed discussion on the impact of androgenic and estrogenic hormones on the HPA axis, readers are directed to a recent review by Ref. [14]. While the present findings do not support past work showing greater HPA activation in response to acute stress in men compared to women [6,23], the present findings are similar in that generalized differences between men and women in terms of S-CORT are present during an ASD. Therefore, more human trials are needed to further examine these differences.

The present study sought to study the potential differences between men and women in terms of the biological response to acute stress. Fig. 4. Data are shown as mean ± SD. Changes in uric acid (UA) across time. ASD = active shooter training drill. (-30) = 30 min prior to ASD, (-5) = 5 min prior to ASD, (+5) = five min post ASD, (+30) = 30 min post ASD. *Denotes significantly (p < 0.05) higher UA values -5 and +5 compared to -30 and +30.

Fig. 5. A and 5B. Data are shown as mean ± SD. Changes in blood epinephrine (SA) and norepinephrine (SB) levels for men and women before and after the active shooter training drill (ASD). (-15) = 15 min before the ASD, (+15) = 15 min after the ASD. *Denotes a significant (p < 0.05) increase from 15 min pre to 15 min post ASD for epinephrine (SA) but not norepinephrine (SB).
psychological stress. However, it should be noted that the current study was limited by several factors. First, time of day for testing was not consistent for all participants and some of the measured analytes such as S-CORT can be impacted by circadian changes. In addition, menstrual cycle phase as well as oral contraceptive use can impact cortisol responses to stress [24]. These factors were not accounted for and should also be viewed as limitations. Age of participants is another factor that can impact such results; however, the age range of participants was similar in both male and females as both groups were college aged (mean ages: males = 23.1, females = 21). As this study seeks to understand stress response to a law enforcement centric ASD, it is worth noting that both groups are also demographically similar to law enforcement recruits (average age of recruits: 20–25; [40]). However, participants were not sworn law enforcement officers, they were undergraduate college students. It is possible that experienced law enforcement officers with experience in active shooter situations could exhibit lower baseline stress markers as well as potentially exhibit more extreme responses due to accumulated stress over their career. Finally, it is also important to consider that the sex of the victims may impact the emotional/stress response by the responding police officer. Future work should examine this hypothesis.

5. Conclusions

Several studies have investigated differences between men and women in relation to potential differences in stress markers and acute response to stress. Furthermore, extensive work has shown a relationship between psychological stress, oxidative stress, and risk for developing CVD. While it has been shown that women tend to be slightly protected against oxidative stress compared to men, the findings from the current study suggest acute psychological stress results in significant increases in salivary and blood stress markers as well as salivary oxidative stress in both men and women. However, most stress markers were lower in women compared to men. Given the role of stress and oxidative stress in CVD progression, these findings should be used as a template for future work to further study potential sex related differences in CVD progression especially among high stress tactical occupations.
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